# Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022-2025: Outcomes Summary Report

# Rutland

June 2023

**Victoria Rice**
Research and Insight Manager- Public
Health


Business Intelligence Service
Chief Executive's Department
Leicestershire County Council
County Hall, Glenfield
Leicester LE3 8RA

Tel          0116 305 6059
Email        victoria.rice@leics.gov.uk

Produced by the Business Intelligence Service at Leicestershire County Council.

# Purpose of Report

In line with the Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (2022-2025), this report has been produced to support and monitor the performance of indicators that are linked to each priority area within the strategy. A dashboard of indicators has also been developed to aid discussion and monitor progress.

The Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy has six priority areas for action, with three cross cutting themes. The diagram below summarises the priorities and principles:



The outcomes summary report and dashboards will be updated on a quarterly basis to support the delivery of the Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy. It is important to note that the dashboard will continue to be developed as the strategy evolves and the delivery plan is developed.

The dashboard sets out, in relation to each indicator, the statistical significance compared to the overall England position or relevant service benchmark where appropriate. A RAG rating of 'green' shows those that are performing better than the England value or benchmark and 'red' indicates worse than the England value or benchmark.

Appendix 1 provides more details on the similar areas to Rutland.

# Priority 1: Enabling the best start in life

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the enabling the best start in life priority, nine are green, 11 are amber and one is red. Five indicators have no comparison, and two indicators are lower than national.

- Rutland performed significantly worse than England/benchmark for the following indicator:

  **Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review** - Rutland is ranked 16th out of 16 in 2021/22. The proportion of children receiving a 12-month review has decreased from 37.0% in 2020/21 to 29.7% in 2021/22.

- Of all the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best/lowest performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Average attainment 8 score**
  **Children in care**
  **Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and deliberate injuries in children (0-14 years).**
  **Estimated number of children and young people with mental disorders-aged 5 to 17**
  **General fertility rate**

- There is currently one indicator where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):

  o   Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 1: The best start for life

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A&E attendances (0 to 4 years) | P | 0-4 yrs | 2021/22 | 525.4 | 4/16 | 398.1 | 752.4 | 762.8 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| Admissions for lower respiratory tract infections (aged 1 year) | P | 1 yr | 2021/22 | Null | Null | Null | Null | 167.8 | ▬ | ⚪ |
| Average Attainment 8 score | P | 15-16 yrs | 2021/22 | 56.2 | 1/16 | 56.2 | 46.3 | 48.7 | ▬ | ⚪ |
| C09a - Reception: Prevalence of overweight (including obesity) | P | 4-5 yrs | 2021/22 | 20.3 | 5/16 | 17.3 | 25.5 | 22.3 | ▶ | 🟡 |
| Children in care | P | <18 yrs | 2022 | 31.0 | 1/16 | 31.0 | 112.0 | 70.0 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| Children in care immunisations | P | <18 yrs | 2022 | 75.0 | 13/16 | 100.0 | 71.0 | 85.0 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| Hospital admissions as a result of self-harm (10-24 years) | P | 10-24 yrs | 2021/22 | 325.1 | 2/16 | 269.3 | 778.0 | 427.3 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| Hospital admissions for mental health conditions | P | <18 yrs | 2021/22 | Null | Null | Null | Null | 99.8 | ▬ | ⚪ |
| Neonatal mortality and stillbirth rate | P | <28 days | 2020 | 7.4 | 13/16 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 6.5 | ▶ | 🟡 |
| New referrals to secondary mental health services, per 100,0.. | P | <18 yrs | 2019/20 | 4,602.8 | 4/16 | 2,966.6 | 10,475.9 | 6,977.4 | ▬ | 🔵 |
| Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review | P | 1 yr | 2021/22 | 29.7 | 16/16 | 97.4 | 29.7 | 82.0 | ▼ | 🔴 |
| Proportion of infants receiving a 6 to 8 week review | P | 6-8 weeks | 2021/22 | 83.7 | 12/16 | 97.6 | 7.6 | 81.6 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| School pupils with social, emotional and mental health needs: .. | P | School age | 2021/22 | 2.5 | 7/16 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.0 | ▲ | 🟢 |
| B02a - School readiness: percentage of children achieving a go.. | P | 5 yrs | 2021/22 | 70.9 | 4/16 | 71.8 | 63.2 | 65.2 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| C04 - Low birth weight of term babies | P | >=37 weeks g.. | 2021 | 2.4 | 10/16 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 2.8 | ▶ | 🟡 |
| C08a - Child development: percentage of children achieving a .. | P | 2-2.5 yrs | 2021/22 | 81.3 | 12/16 | 90.1 | 43.5 | 81.1 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| C09b - Year 6: Prevalence of overweight (including obesity) | P | 10-11 yrs | 2021/22 | 30.2 | 3/16 | 28.4 | 37.5 | 37.8 | ▶ | 🟢 |
| C05a - Baby's first feed breastmilk | P | Newborn | 2020/21 | 86.1 | 2/16 | 88.0 | 68.6 | 71.7 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| C07 - Proportion of New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed within .. | P | <14 days | 2021/22 | 88.8 | 7/16 | 94.8 | 32.7 | 82.7 | ▶ | 🟢 |
| C11a - Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and delib.. | P | 0-4 yrs | 2021/22 | Null | Null | Null | Null | 103.6 | ▬ | ⚪ |
| C11a - Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and delib.. | P | <15 yrs | 2021/22 | 49.5 | 1/16 | 49.5 | 118.6 | 84.3 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| E01 - Infant mortality rate | P | <1 yr | 2019 - 21 | 2.4 | 2/16 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 3.9 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| E02 - Percentage of 5 year olds with experience of visually obv.. | P | 5 yrs | 2021/22 | 15.1 | 4/13 | 10.3 | 38.7 | 23.7 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| Estimated number of children and young people with mental d.. | P | 5-17 yrs | 2017/18 | 752.2 | 1/14 | 752.2 | 9,588.2 | Null | ▬ | ⚪ |
| C06 - Smoking status at time of delivery | F | All ages | 2021/22 | 6.8 | 3/16 | 5.6 | 12.4 | 9.1 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| D04e - Population vaccination coverage: HPV vaccination coverage for one dose (12 to 13 year old) | F | 12-13 yrs | 2021/22 | 81.7 | 4/16 | 90.4 | 66.4 | 69.6 | ▼ | 🟡 |
| | M | 12-13 yrs | 2021/22 | 81.7 | 3/16 | 90.0 | 50.1 | 62.4 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| General fertility rate | F | 15-44 yrs | 2021 | 45.4 | 1/16 | 45.4 | 63.2 | 54.3 | ▬ | 🔵 |

**Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:**
🟩 Better  🟨 Similar  🟥 Worse  ⬜ Not compared  🟦 Higher  🟦 Lower

**Direction of Travel:**
▼ Decreasing  ▲ Increasing  ▶ No significant change
▼ Decreasing and getting better  ▲ Increasing and getting better  ▬ Cannot be calculated
▼ Decreasing and getting worse  ▲ Increasing and getting worse

Data Source: Office for Health Improvement & Disparities
https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/

Produced by Business Intelligence Service
Updated June 2023

# Priority 2: Staying healthy and independent: prevention

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the staying healthy and independent: prevention priority, five are green, three are amber and two are red.

- Rutland performed significantly worse than England/benchmark for the following indicators:

  **Cumulative percentage of the eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health Check –** Rutland is ranked 14th out of 16 in 2017/18-2021/22. The latest value for Rutland is 38.6%, which is significantly worse than the national average of 44.8%.

  **Population vaccination coverage (shingles) for 71 years** – Rutland is ranked 15th out of 16 in 2021/22. The latest value for Rutland is 32.2%, which is significantly worse than the benchmark of 50%.

- Of all the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best/lowest performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Percentage of adults (aged 18 plus) classified as overweight or obese.**
  **Cancer screening coverage-cervical cancer (aged 50 to 64 years)**

- There are currently three indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):

  - Cumulative percentage of the eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health Check
  - Self-reported wellbeing: people with a high anxiety score
  - Population vaccination coverage – Shingles vaccination coverage (71 years)

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 2: Staying healthy and independent: prevention

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| B19 - Loneliness: Percentage of adults who feel lonely often or always or some of the time | P | 16+ yrs | 2019/20 | 24.8 | 13/16 | 13.9 | 26.8 | 22.3 | Cannot be calculated | Similar |
| C16 - Percentage of adults (aged 18 plus) classified as overweight or obese | P | 18+ yrs | 2021/22 | 55.5 | 1/16 | 55.5 | 69.4 | 63.8 | Cannot be calculated | Better |
| C26b - Cumulative percentage of the eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health Check | P | 40-74 yrs | 2017/18 - 21/22 | 38.6 | 14/16 | 66.2 | 34.8 | 44.8 | Cannot be calculated | Worse |
| C28d - Self reported wellbeing: people with a high anxiety score | P | 16+ yrs | 2021/22 | 29.2 | 16/16 | 19.5 | 29.2 | 22.6 | Cannot be calculated | Similar |
| C17a - Percentage of physically active adults | P | 19+ yrs | 2021/22 | 70.2 | 10/16 | 77.3 | 64.8 | 67.3 | Cannot be calculated | Similar |
| C24a - Cancer screening coverage: breast cancer | F | 53-70 yrs | 2022 | 71.4 | 8/16 | 78.9 | 54.1 | 65.2 | Decreasing and getting worse | Better |
| C24b - Cancer screening coverage: cervical cancer (aged 25 to 49 years old) | F | 25-49 yrs | 2022 | 74.4 | 8/16 | 77.0 | 69.5 | 67.6 | No significant change | Better |
| C24c - Cancer screening coverage: cervical cancer (aged 50 to 64 years old) | F | 50-64 yrs | 2022 | 79.5 | 1/16 | 79.5 | 71.4 | 74.6 | No significant change | Better |
| C24d - Cancer screening coverage: bowel cancer | P | 60-74 yrs | 2022 | 77.5 | 2/16 | 77.6 | 72.2 | 70.3 | Increasing and getting better | Better |
| D06c - Population vaccination coverage: Shingles vaccination coverage (71 years) | P | 71 | 2021/22 | 32.2 | 15/16 | 59.8 | 30.0 | 44.0 | Cannot be calculated | Worse |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- ■ Better
- ■ Similar
- ■ Worse
- ■ Not compared
- ■ Higher
- ■ Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- ▲ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

Data Source: Office for Health Improvement & Disparities
https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/

Produced by Business Intelligence Service
Updated June 2023

# Priority 3: Healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions priority, one is green and three are amber.

- Of all the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Percentage of cancers diagnosed at stages 1 and 2**

- There are currently no indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing).

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 3: Healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| C23 - Percentage of cancers diagnosed at stages 1 and 2 | P | All ages | 2020 | 57.2 | 1/15 | 57.2 | 49.4 | 52.3 | ▶ (orange) | 🟡 |
| C29 - Emergency hospital admissions due to falls in people aged 65 and over | P | 65+ yrs | 2021/22 | 1,565.3 | 3/16 | 1,486.9 | 2,524.7 | 2,099.9 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| E13 - Hip fractures in people aged 65 and over | P | 65+ yrs | 2021/22 | 528.2 | 7/16 | 443.4 | 631.3 | 551.2 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| E14 - Winter mortality index | P | All ages | Aug 2020 - Jul 2021 | 33.3 | 11/16 | 17.6 | 61.1 | 36.2 | ▬ | 🟡 |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- 🟩 Better
- 🟨 Similar
- 🟥 Worse
- ⬜ Not compared
- 🟦 Higher
- 🟦 Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- △ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

# Priority 4: Ensuring equitable access to services for all Rutland residents

## Performance Summary

- The one indicator presented below for the ensuring equitable access to services for all Rutland residents priority is the Access to NHS dental services – successfully obtained a dental appointment indicator.

- The percentage of people who successfully obtained an NHS dental appointment in the last two years has decreased from 94.6% in 2019/20 (where Rutland performed in the 2nd best quintile nationally) to 77.7% in 2020/21, where Rutland now performs in the middle quintile. Rutland is ranked 8th out of 16 when compared to its nearest neighbours.

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 4: Equitable access to health and wellbeing services

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Access to NHS dental services - successfully obtained a dental appointment | P | 18+ yrs | 2020/21 | 77.7 | 8/16 | 85.4 | 65.0 | 77.0 | ▶ | ● |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- 🟩 Better
- 🟥 Worse
- 🟦 Higher
- 🟨 Similar
- ⬜ Not compared
- 🟦 Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- △ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

# Priority 5: Preparing for our growing and changing population

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the preparing for our growing and changing population priority, one is green and four are amber. Three indicators were not suitable for comparison.

- Of all the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best/lowest performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Violent crime-violence offences per 1,000 population**

- There are currently no indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing).

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 5: Preparing for population growth and change

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|-----------|---|---|---|-------|------|-------------|---------------|---------|-----|-----|
| Air pollution: fine particulate matter (historic indicator) | N/A | Not applicable | 2020 | 6.2 | 8/15 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 6.9 | | |
| Average weekly earnings | P | 16+ yrs | 2021 | 551.3 | 4/16 | 575.3 | 402.7 | 496.0 | | |
| B12b - Violent crime - violence offences per 1,000 population | P | All ages | 2021/22 | 17.3 | 1/16 | 17.3 | 38.9 | 34.9 | | |
| B17 - Fuel poverty (low income, low energy efficiency methodology) | N/A | Not applicable | 2020 | 11.9 | 9/16 | 6.7 | 16.7 | 13.2 | | |
| Percentage of adults cycling for travel at least three days per week | P | 16+ yrs | 2019/20 | 1.1 | 11/16 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 2.3 | | |
| B18b - Social Isolation: percentage of adult carers who have as much social contact as they would like | P | 18+ yrs | 2021/22 | 27.0 | 8/16 | 38.4 | 16.0 | 28.0 | | |
| B08a - Gap in the employment rate between those with a physical or mental long term health condition (aged 16 to 64) and the overall employment rate | P | 16-64 yrs | 2021/22 | 6.8 | 3/16 | 6.3 | 14.4 | 9.9 | | |
| B15a - Homelessness: households owed a duty under the Homelessness Reduction Act | N/A | Not applicable | 2021/22 | 6.1 | 3/15 | 4.4 | 13.5 | 11.7 | | |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- Better
- Worse
- Higher
- Similar
- Not compared
- Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- ▲ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

# Priority 6: Ensuring people are well supported in the last phase of their lives

## Performance Summary

- Out of the four comparable indicators presented for the ensuring people are well supported in the last phase of their lives priority, one is amber, two are higher and one is lower.

- Rutland performed significantly higher than England/benchmark for the following indicators:

  **Percentage of deaths that occur at home** – Rutland is ranked 16th out of 16 in 2021. The proportion of deaths that occur at home (all ages) has decreased from 33.9% in 2020 to 33.6% in 2021, which is significantly higher than the national average of 28.7%.

  **Percentage of deaths that occur in care homes** – Rutland is ranked 15th out of 16 in 2021. The proportion of deaths that occur in care homes (all ages) has increased from 27.5% in 2020 (where it performed statistically similar to England) to 28.0% in 2021, which is significantly higher than the national average of 20.2%.

- Rutland performed significantly lower than England/benchmark for the following indicator:

  **Percentage of deaths that occur in hospital** – Rutland is ranked 1st out of 16 in 2021. The proportion of deaths that occur at hospital (all ages) has increased from 33.9% in 2020 to 35.5% in 2021. Rutland has performed significantly lower than England for this indicator since 2019.

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 6: Ensuring people are well supported in the last phase of their lives

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Percentage of deaths that occur at home | P | All ages | 2021 | 33.6 | 16/16 | 25.0 | 33.6 | 28.7 | ▶ | ● |
| Percentage of deaths that occur in care homes | P | All ages | 2021 | 28.0 | 15/16 | 15.1 | 30.3 | 20.2 | ▶ | ● |
| Percentage of deaths that occur in hospital | P | All ages | 2021 | 35.5 | 1/16 | 35.5 | 48.5 | 44.0 | ▶ | ● |
| Temporary Resident Care Home Deaths, Persons, All Ages (%) | P | All ages | 2021 | 39.8 | 9/16 | 29.6 | 50.8 | 39.6 | ▬ | ● |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- ■ Better
- ■ Worse
- ■ Higher
- ■ Similar
- ■ Not compared
- ■ Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- △ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

# Cross Cutting Themes:

# Supporting Mental Health

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for supporting mental health, three are green, seven are amber and four are not comparable.

- Of the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best/lowest performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Emergency Hospital Admissions for Intentional Self-Harm (Males)**
  **Depression: QOF prevalence (18+yrs)**
  **Admission episodes for alcohol-related conditions (Broad): New method**

- There is currently one indicator where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):

  o Self-reported wellbeing: people with a high anxiety score

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Mental Health Indicators

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 90535 - Depression and anxiety among social care users: % of social care users | P | 18+ yrs | 2018/19 | 44.5 | 2/15 | 43.9 | 58.8 | 50.5 | ▬ | ⬤ (grey) |
| B11 - Domestic abuse related incidents and crimes | P | 16+ yrs | 2021/22 | 24.1 | 4/16 | 23.0 | 40.6 | 30.8 | ▬ | ⬤ (grey) |
| B18a - Social Isolation: percentage of adult social care users who have as much social contact as they would like | P | 18+ yrs | 2021/22 | 39.5 | 9/16 | 47.2 | 34.8 | 40.6 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| | | 65+ yrs | 2021/22 | 31.8 | 3/16 | 27.3 | 46.4 | 37.3 | ▶ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| B18b - Social Isolation: percentage of adult carers who have as much social contact as they would like | P | 18+ yrs | 2021/22 | 27.0 | 8/16 | 38.4 | 16.0 | 28.0 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| | | 65+ yrs | 2021/22 | 26.3 | 8/16 | 16.7 | 35.3 | 28.8 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| C14b - Emergency Hospital Admissions for Intentional Self-Harm | P | All ages | 2021/22 | 106.4 | 2/16 | 102.9 | 273.6 | 163.9 | ▬ | ⬤ (green) |
| | F | All ages | 2021/22 | 150.1 | 2/16 | 136.4 | 382.2 | 220.1 | ▬ | ⬤ (green) |
| | M | All ages | 2021/22 | 70.8 | 1/16 | 70.8 | 195.7 | 109.2 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| C28d - Self reported wellbeing: people with a high anxiety score | P | 16+ yrs | 2021/22 | 29.2 | 16/16 | 18.2 | 29.2 | 22.6 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| C17a - Percentage of physically active adults | P | 19+ yrs | 2021/22 | 70.2 | 10/16 | 77.3 | 64.8 | 67.3 | ▬ | ⬤ (yellow) |
| Depression: QOF prevalence (18+ yrs) | P | 18+ yrs | 2021/22 | 11.2 | 1/16 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 12.7 | ▲ | ⬤ (grey) |
| Mental Health: QOF prevalence (all ages) | P | All ages | 2021/22 | 0.7 | 4/16 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | ▶ | ⬤ (grey) |
| Admission episodes for alcohol-related conditions (Broad) | P | All ages | 2021/22 | 1,068.2 | 1/16 | 1,068.2 | 1,762.8 | 1,734.5 | ▬ | ⬤ (green) |

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
■ Better  ■ Similar
■ Worse  ■ Not compared
■ Higher  ■ Lower

Direction of Travel:
▼ Decreasing  △ Increasing  ▷ No significant change
▼ Decreasing and getting better  ▲ Increasing and getting better  ▬ Cannot be calculated
▼ Decreasing and getting worse  ▲ Increasing and getting worse

# Reducing Health Inequalities

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for reducing health inequalities, one is green, one is amber and two are not comparable.

- Of the indicators, Rutland ranks 1st (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators:
  **Healthy life expectancy at birth (Males)**
  **Life expectancy at birth (Males).**

# Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Cross Cutting Theme: Reducing health inequalities

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

| Indicator | | | | Value | Rank | Best/Lowest | Worst/Highest | England | DoT | RAG |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A01a - Healthy life expectancy at birth | F | All ages | 2018 - 20 | 66.8 | 8/16 | 70.1 | 62.6 | 63.9 | ▬ | 🟡 |
| | M | All ages | 2018 - 20 | 74.7 | 1/16 | 74.7 | 61.8 | 63.1 | ▬ | 🟢 |
| A01b - Life expectancy at birth | F | All ages | 2018 - 20 | 85.0 | 3/16 | 85.2 | 83.2 | 83.1 | ▬ | ⚪ |
| | M | All ages | 2018 - 20 | 83.2 | 1/16 | 83.2 | 79.2 | 79.4 | ▬ | ⚪ |

Note: For A01b Life expectancy at birth for females the worst value should be 83.4, for A01b Life expectancy at birth for males the worst value should be 79.6

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:
- 🟩 Better
- 🟥 Worse
- 🟦 Higher
- 🟨 Similar
- ⬜ Not compared
- 🟦 Lower

Direction of Travel:
- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- △ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▷ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated

# Covid Recovery

- **COVID-19 vaccinations (% Uptake)**

  The Covid-19 vaccination uptake in Rutland is higher than England for the spring 2023 booster dose (75+) 3 for those aged 12 and over, as of 31st May 2023. 68.1% of over 75s in Rutland have had their 2023 spring booster compared to 59.1% nationally

  **Spring booster 2023 Uptake in Rutland (75+)**

  

  **Spring booster 2023 Uptake in England (75+)**

  

  *Source: Coronavirus (COVID-19) in the UK dashboard (https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/)*

- **COVID-19 Deaths\*\***

  As of week 22, in 2023, there have been a total of 117 Covid-19 deaths in Rutland. Of the total deaths involving Covid-19 in Rutland, 63 (53.8%) were in a hospital setting and 43 (36.8%) were in a care home setting.

  Since the beginning of the pandemic (week 12, 2020) there have been a total of 1,446 deaths (all causes) in Rutland.

  Based on the average mortality data for 2017-22 (excl 2020), we would expect 1,317 deaths in Rutland for this period. This reveals an excess of 129 deaths from any cause in Rutland during this period.

# Appendix 1

## Similar areas to Rutland

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Nearest Neighbours model seeks to measure similarity between Local Authorities. The nearest neighbours to Rutland are listed below.

Nearest CIPFA neighbours to Rutland available from fingertips include:

- Bedford
- Buckinghamshire UA
- Central Bedfordshire
- Cheshire East
- Cheshire West and Chester
- Cornwall
- Dorset
- East Riding of Yorkshire
- Herefordshire
- North Somerset
- Shropshire
- Solihull
- South Gloucestershire
- West Berkshire
- Wiltshire